	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/769,763	TOTANI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ishwar (I. B.) Patel	2841
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Being allowed</u>		
(1) Ishwar (I. B.) Patel.	(3)	
(2) <u>David G. Posz (Reg. 37,701)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 April 2008	Time: <u>-</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 15 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
April 25, 2008 /Ishwar (I. B.) Patel/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2841	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/769,763

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called applicant's representative and asked to amend claim 15 to avoid possible 112 second rejection, and also requested an extension of time for one month to place the application in condition of allowance. Applicant's representative gave authorization to amend the claim by Examiner's Amendment.